



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/817,391	04/25/97	KORNFLAT	TPP-30422

IM31/1209
THOMAS P. PAVELKO, ESQ.
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, LLP
1615 L STREET NW SUITE 850
WASHINGTON DC 20036

EXAMINER

JONES, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1732

DATE MAILED: 12/09/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/817,391

Applicant(s)

Kornflat et al.

Examiner

Kenneth Jones

Group Art Unit

1732



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kenneth Jones (3) _____

(2) Mr. Thomas Pavelko (4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 8, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims having a 112 rejection in the office action dated 7-8-98

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Yesterday, December 7, Mr. Pavelko called to inquire of the status of the 112 rejections made in the office action dated 7-8-98. Today the Examiner returned the call and informed Mr. Pavelko that all 112 rejections had been overcome by Applicants' response (amendment after final) dated 10-7-98.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.